DYIMS

BUILDING COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

PROJECT: LOCATION:	Dennis Yarmouth Intermediate N Virtual Meeting	IS		MEETING DATE: March 22, 2021	
ATTENDEES:					
Bldg. Committee:	🖾 Joe Tierney (JT)	🖾 Sandra Cashen (SC)	🛛 Robert Ciavarra (RC)	🖾 George Davis (GD)	
	🖾 James Dykeman (JD)	🖂 Carol Woodbury (CW)	🗆 Chris Flanagan (CF)	🖾 Mike Bovino (MB)	
	🗆 Daniel Knapik (DK)	🖂 Ann Knell (AK)	🖾 Eric Tolley (ET)	🖾 Tim Blake (TB)	
	🖾 Jenifer Legge (JL)	🛛 Phillip Morris (PM)	🗆 Michael Nardone (MN)	Laurie Crosbie (LC)	
	⊠ Greg Rounseville (GR)	🛛 Will Rubenstein (WR)	⊠ Curt Sears (CS)	🖾 Cleon Turner (CT)	
PMA:	⊠ Chad Crittenden (CCr) □ Jon Pope	🛛 Walter Hartley (WH)	🛛 Mitch Miller (MM)	🛛 Mark Adrean (MA)	
PE (Designer):	□ Robert Bell (RB) □ Pam Perini (PP – Security Consultant)	🛛 Daniel Colli (DC)	□ Russell Higgins (RH)	🛛 Andrew Hazelton (AH)	
Traverse (Site):	☐ Kris Bradner (KB)	🗆 John Luca (JL)	Justin Robertshaw (JL)		
Guests:	🛛 Jeff Hazelwood	Pat Tompkins	🗆 Lyle Cofflin		
	Peter McNulty	David Fontaine	🗆 Eileen Whalen		
	🗆 Nasra Nimaga	🖂 Gary Barber	John Connelly		
	Leah Schroeder	Dan Broyles			

GENERAL

Item	Action/	Notes
	Due	
01/16:01	SBC Monthly	Call to Order: – Roll Call: 03/22/21: Meeting was called to order at 4:31 PM by Joe Tierney with 16 voting members present.
01/16:02	SBC Monthly	Approval of Minutes: MOTION: Incorrect file was sent to the committee. Minutes from 11/19/2020 will be voted for approval at next meeting. DISCUSSION: none. VOTE: N/A

DESIGN & CONSTRUCTION

Item	Action/	Notes
	Due	Overview of Meeting Agenda:
01/16:03	SBC Monthly	Update 03/22/2021: PMA reviewed the meeting agenda.
01/06:04	PMA Monthly	Master Project Schedule: <u>Update 03/22/2021</u> : No update: Contract packages are being prepped and ready to go. The timeline supports moving into the new building January of 2023.
2/13.01	PMA Monthly	Focus Group Updates: Update 03/22/2021: No focus groups were held since the last SBC meeting.

PROJECT BUDGET Item Action/ Notes Due **Procurement Updates:** Update 03/22/2021: CCr reviewed the bid results. Estimated construction budget was \$93.74M. 5 bids were received. CTA, Commodore, Agostini, Brait, and Fontaine. Schedule of alternates was reviewed. Alternate 1: Concrete curbs switched to granite curbs. Alternate 2: Sod instead of seed. Alternate 3: Traffic PMA 2/13.02 light. Alternate 4: deleted an athletic field. No alternates need to be taken due to bid price savings. PMA is Monthly seeking a vote to award the contract to the lowest responsible bidder. PMA cannot give a specific recommendation. CTA was the low bidder at \$83,300,000. JT asked if anyone had any questions. CS and SC were thanked for their efforts during the prequalification. District council Peter McNulty is on the call. Cleon T asked about the litigation numbers and how they compare to the other bidders. Council

PMA Consultants

responded that the district can only extrapolate based on the slides presented. CW stated that the of demands for direct payment caught her attention and asked PMA for an explanation of this. CC explained why a subcontractor would demand direct payment from a GC. PM confirmed that demi direct payment from the subcontractors and e examined. CCr explained that information cannot be: until after the committee has determined whether CTA is responsible. WR is frustrated with review numbers that have no context. WR asked for PMA to explain each line of data from the slide regar CTA. PMA explained the data regarding CTA as presented on the slide. JT asked if there are any oth questions. CW asked if Peter McNulty can explain the New legal proceedings. PMA explained that it cases total 44. Pending, concluded, and new cases combined. CT asked the council if someone fror office can explain how it is the committee is supposed to determine if a GC is qualified if the commic cannot see the data. PMA explained the vote is whether the lowest qualified bidder is responsible qualified. All 5 bidders are qualified. JL stated that litigation could cost the taxpayers more money. has worked with CTA on 3 projects. 2/3 went well. Carver ES was the most recent project and was successful. WR asked if PMA has ever seen a district not go with the lowest bidder when the secon bidder was within 1%. WR asked about the timeline for bid protest. PMA explained. It is symp with not necessarily going with CTA but does not know that the other GS will be any more respon GD asked 2 questions: 1 an e-mail was sent to him stating that something the committee had done unfair. GD wants this to be addressed. 2 Was this company in litigation for repairs or for new const GD would like PMA to address this. PMA explained that new construction is less likely to relitigation. GD asked if the committee could do their orun indegendent research on the GCs. GD ask about CTAs failing score. The failing score was on a MSBA project. 8 aut of 17 projects were school
 second on the table. Jenn L asked about the alternate pricing. PMA responded alternates 1-3 keep same order. If alternate 4 is taken, then bacon becomes #2 and Commodore #3. Curt commented the vote is tabled people will do their own research. Gary Barber stated that he was on the pre quation committee for cape cod tech. He was advised by his OPM that you stand the chance of protest if your disqualify a GC with a score above 80. JT asked for a vote on curet's motion that CTA is not a responsible. Roll call vote: MOTION: CS asked to make a motion that CTA is not responsible. DISCUSSION: See above. VOTE: AK: Yes, GD: Yes, PM: No, JD: No, CT: Yes, CS: Yes, WR: Yes, GR: No, JL: No, MB: Yes, TB: Yes, GB: no, CF: no, ET: No, CW: yes, JT: recuse/abstain. (9 no, 7 yes) CTA is determined responsible. MOTION: GR made the motion to recommendation to the school committee to award the contract PM second. DISCUSSION: Curt reminded the committee that they are voting to make a recommendation to the committee to award the contract to CTA. GD supports the new motion and believes that PMA will good job managing the GC. VOTE: AK: Yes, GD: Yes, PM: Yes, JD: Yes, CT: Yes, CS: No, WR: Yes, GR: Yes, JL: Yes, MB: No, TB: No Yes, GB: Yes, ET: Yes, CF: Yes, CW: Yes, JT: Abstain. 13,3,1
01/06:09 SBC Monthly SBC Monthly SBC Monthly SBC Monthly SBC Monthly SBC Monthly SBC Monthly SBC Monthly SBC MON S

		14Jan21 -> 17Feb21: Bidding (added one week to durations due to holiday)
		Update 03/22/2021: 16Mar21 -> 01APR21: Contracts executed & construction permitting (SWPPP, NOI,
		CGP permitting, etc.) Building substantially complete Oct 14, 2022. Ready for occupancy January 2 nd , 2023.
		Cashflow Update:
07/09:01	SBC Monthly	Update 03/22/2021: Paid to date \$7.025M, Cashflow will increase when construction starts. PFA bid
	wontiny	amendment will be next milestone. A Schedule of values will be reviewed with the GC.

MISCELLANEOUS

ltem	Action/	Notes	
	Due		
3/5.01	SBC Monthly	New Business:Update 03/22/2021:JT asked for new business from the committee: WR expressed his condolences about the loss of Ken JenksTechnical Review committee: PMA would like to make a recommendation for a 3-person reviewcommittee to expedite the execution of potential change orders. WH explained how and why thiscommittee will be formed. JT asked if we need those three persons to be recommended and voted at thenext meeting (yes). CS is interested in being on that TRC as well as Gary Barber.	
2/13.03	Record	Public Comment/Questions: Update 03/22/2021: Public comment: none	
01/06:10	Record	Adjournment: Update 03/22/2021: MOTION: to adjourn made at 6:06PM by PM, second by CS. DISCUSSION: None. VOTE: 17 in favor, 0 against, 0 abstain. The motion carries. Next Meeting date: TBD	

PMA Consultants assumes, to the best of our knowledge, that the above content of these Meeting Minutes depicts all that transpired during this Project meeting. All attendees are required to address by memo or via email, any omissions, errors or inconsistencies in the reporting of these Meeting Minutes, to the writer, within two (2) business days of receipt of these Meeting Minutes.

PREPARED BY: Mark Adrean, PMA Consultants LLC

DATE: March 24, 2021